

Intervi	ew	Sumi	marv
---------	----	------	------

Application No. 09/494,211

Applicant(s)

Woo

Examiner

Art Unit

	Tracy Dove	1745	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTC	personnel):		
(1) Tracy Dove	(3)		•
(2) William Hickman			
Date of Interview			
Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☐ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes	2)☐ applicant's representa e)☒ No. If yes, brief descr		
Claim(s) discussed: Claim 1	`		
Identification of prior art discussed: Yamada 5,589,299; Yamahira 5,601,950; Idota 5,686,20	3		
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. Substance of Interview including description of the general any other comments: Applicant stated Yamada and Idota teach plating layers, not suggested amending the claims to recite "the Cu-alloy confurther comprises a material selected" to exclude a foil we appears to overcome the anticipation rejection in view of Yamaeded to determine if the claims are obvious in view of Yamaeded to determine if the claims are obvious in view of Yamaeded to determine if the claims are obvious in view of Yamaeded to determine if the claims are obvious in view of Yamaeded to determine if the claims are obvious in view of Yamaeded to determine if the claims are obvious in view of Yamaeded to determine if the claims are obvious in view of Yamaeded to determine if the claims are obvious in view of Yamaeded to determine if the claims are obvious in view of Yamaeded to determine if the claims are obvious in view of Yamaeded to determine if the claims are obvious in view of Yamaeded to determine if the claims are obvious in view of Yamaeded to determine if the claims are obvious in view of Yamaeded to determine if the claims are obvious in view of Yamaeded to determine if the claims are obvious in view of Yamaeded to determine if the claims are obvious in view of Yamaeded to determine if the claims are obvious in view of Yamaeded to determine in view of Yamaede	nature of what was agreed to t a single alloy material for the mprises at least one" and ". hich is not a single material an amada and Idota, however, fu mada and Idota. The rejection	o if an agreement vector foil. Example collector foil. Example copper-based loy layer. Such an arther consideration in view of Yamal	aminer d material n amendment n will be hira has not be
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendallowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no convailable, a summary thereof must be attached.) i) It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separal sep	te record of the substance of L WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAP section 713.04). If a reply 1	the interview (if both the case) AST OFFICE ACTION THE CASE ACTION THE LAST OFFICE ACTION	ox is checked). ON MUST
xaminer Note: You must sign this form unless it is a Attachment to a signed Office action.	Examiner's	s signature, if requi	red